

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,865	08/04/2003	Eung-Sun Kim	45453	4628	
Joseph J. Bucz	7590 03/10/200 vnski	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P.			TEKLE, D	TEKLE, DANIEL T	
Suite 600 1300 19th Stre	ef	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, DC 20036			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/10/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/632,865	KIM, EUNG-SUN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DANIEL TEKLE	2621		

	DANIEL TEKLE	2621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 16 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing.	date of the final rejection.						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Mole: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY OFIECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(n).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t	iled within two months	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	ithin the time period set forth in 37 (CFR 41.37(a).					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 		lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 		imal: filed emendmen	ot concelling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submilled iii a separale, i	imely liled amendmen	it canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	the face and the date of fire a block		be sets and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons wity it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.133(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
Manaka D. Banka Haraldi							
/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621	/Daniel Tekle/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant arguments.

Applicant argues on page 2-3 of the remark regarding user selecting a buffer time; input unit operated by the user for selecting a buffer time, and control unit for temporarily storing a video signal in a selected allocated buffer time corresponding to the buffer time selected by user....."

In response the examiner respectfully disagrees. Sparell et al. discloses under the direction of the user interface to control buffer appropriate size for recording. When a user starting watching video the recording device establish the buffer time after recognize the size of video data. Basically the recording device predetermined set a recording size or buffer time after recognize the size of video data. Input means, the user interface is responsible for allowing the user to direct the operation (paragraph 002 addition the cited paragraphs of the final office action), and buffer memory or temporary memory and long-time memory as disclosed in (paragraph 0031). Therefore applicant argument regarding claim 1-16 the examiner maintains that Sparell et al. adequately provides support for the claimed limitation as mentioned above in addition the final office action.